

Dimension	% Weighting	Evaluation criteria							
Esteem	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> High profile conference or speaking presentations and/or relevant prizes or awards, relative to opportunity Several examples of leadership roles in relevant organisations or similar projects 	11-15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Moderate profile conference or speaking presentations and/or relevant prizes or awards, relative to opportunity Some examples of leadership roles in relevant organisations or similar projects 	6-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lower profile conference or speaking presentations and/or relevant prizes or awards, relative to opportunity Limited examples of leadership roles in relevant organisations or similar projects 	1-5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No conference or speaking presentations and/or relevant prizes or awards, relative to opportunity No examples of leadership roles in relevant organisations or similar projects 	0
Previous project outputs and supervision	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Several examples of previous outputs in this field relative to opportunity Supervisor or senior colleague endorsement for the project 	11-15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some examples of previous outputs in this field relative to opportunity Supervisor or senior colleague endorsement for the project 	6-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited examples of previous outputs in this field relative to opportunity Supervisor or senior colleague endorsement for the project 	1-5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No previous outputs in this field relative to opportunity Supervisor or senior colleague endorsement for the project 	0
Domain of social impact and quality improvement	20	<p>Strong alignment to a commonly identified domain of social impact. Clearly addresses one or more of the domains of quality improvement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Safety Effectiveness Patient-Centredness Timeliness Efficiency Equity 	15-20	<p>Moderate alignment to a commonly identified domain of social impact</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly addresses one of the domains of quality improvement 	9-14	<p>Minimal alignment to a commonly identified domain of social impact</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Somewhat addresses the domains of quality improvement 	4-8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No alignment to a commonly identified domain of social impact Does not address the domains of quality improvement 	0-3
Project rationality and feasibility	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear rationale Well informed by literature Clear and feasible project plan using known research methods Novel project 	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear rationale Partly informed by literature Clear and feasible project plan May be novel 	5-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unclear rationale Partly informed by literature Lack of clarity and feasibility in project plan Not novel 	2-4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unclear rationale Not adequately informed by literature No clear or feasible project plan Not novel 	0-1
Project design, methodology and ethics	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate intervention plan Appropriate outcomes, measures and analysis Clearly demonstrates ethics considered and/or approval 	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Somewhat appropriate intervention plan Generally appropriate outcomes, measures and analysis Clearly demonstrates ethics considered; not yet approved 	5-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Questionable intervention plan Questionable outcomes, measures and analysis Insufficient consideration of ethics 	2-4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No intervention plan No outcomes, measures and analysis No consideration of ethics 	0-1
Project significance and expected outcomes	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant potential for the project to contribute to improvement in social factors in medicine The problem that the project or research is seeking to address is broadly important Challenges existing models, technologies or treatment 	15-20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some potential for the project to contribute to improvement in social factors in medicine The problem that the project or research is seeking to address is important in a specific area Partially challenges existing models, technologies or treatment 	8-14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited potential for the project to contribute to improvement in social factors in medicine The problem that the project or research is seeking to address is important in a niche area Minimally challenges existing models, technologies or treatment 	1-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Little or no potential for the project to contribute to improvement in social factors in medicine Importance of the project or research is questionable Does not challenge existing models, technologies or treatment 	0
Relative importance	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Highly important for future career Highly likely to make a significant impact on quality of healthcare or health policy 	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Somewhat important for future career Somewhat likely to make a significant impact on quality of healthcare or health policy 	4-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimally important for future career Unlikely to make a significant impact on quality of healthcare or health policy 	1-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not important for future career Will not make a significant impact on quality of healthcare or health policy 	0