

Dimension	% Weighting	Evaluation criteria							
Esteem	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> High-profile conference or speaking presentations and/or relevant prizes or awards, relative to opportunity Several examples of leadership roles in relevant organisations 	11-15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Moderate-profile conference or speaking presentations and/or relevant prizes or awards, relative to opportunity Some examples of leadership roles in relevant organisations 	6-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Low-profile or single conference or speaking presentation and/or relevant prizes or awards Single example of leadership role in relevant organisation 	1-5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No conference or speaking presentations, prizes, awards or leadership examples 	0
Previous quality projects or research outputs	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Several examples of quality experience or scholarly research experience Several high quality examples of previous quality projects or research outputs relative to opportunity 	11-15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some examples of quality experience or scholarly research experience Some high quality examples of previous quality projects or research outputs relative to opportunity 	6-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited examples of quality experience or scholarly research experience Limited examples of previous quality projects or research outputs relative to opportunity 	1-5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No quality experience or scholarly research experience 	0
Project/ experimental plan and research design	20	<p>Criteria for quality in medicine:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear rationale Well informed by literature Clear and feasible project plan Novel project Appropriate intervention plan Appropriate outcome measures and analysis 	16-20	<p>Criteria for quality in medicine:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear rationale Partially informed by literature Clear and feasible project plan May be novel Somewhat appropriate intervention plan Generally appropriate outcome measures and analysis 	6-15	<p>Criteria for quality in medicine:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unclear rationale Partially informed by literature Lack of clarity and feasibility in project plan Not novel Questionable intervention plan Questionable outcome measures and analysis 	1-5	<p>Criteria for quality in medicine:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unclear rationale Not adequately informed by literature Not clear or feasible project plan Not novel Questionable intervention plan Questionable outcome measures and analysis 	0
		<p>Criteria for advancement of medicine:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Highly innovative Feasible New or novel research Clear outcome measures Well informed by literature Concrete and achievable goals 		<p>Criteria for advancement of medicine:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Solid and feasible May be new or novel research Clear outcome measures Well informed by literature Concrete and achievable goals 		<p>Criteria for advancement of medicine:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerns about feasibility and quality Not new or novel research Partially clear outcome measures Partially informed by literature Unclear goals 		<p>Criteria for advancement of medicine:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Serious concerns about feasibility and quality Not new or novel research Unclear outcome measures Not supported by literature Unclear goals 	
Significance and expected outcomes	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant potential for the project or research to contribute to improvement in quality in medicine/health/health standards The problem that the project or research is seeking to address is important Challenges existing models, technologies or treatments 	15-20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some potential for the project or research to contribute to improvement in quality in medicine/health/health standards The problem that the project or research is seeking to address is somewhat important Partially challenges existing models, technologies or treatments 	8-14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited potential for the project or research to contribute to improvement in quality in medicine/health/health standards The problem that the project or research is seeking to address is somewhat important Minimally challenges existing models, technologies or treatments 	1-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Little or no potential for the project or research to contribute to improvement in quality in medicine/health/health standards Importance of the project or research is questionable Does not challenge existing models, technologies or treatments 	0
Translatability	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Research that seeks to advance scientific knowledge to paradigms of disease prevention and move health practices towards population health impact 	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Research includes dissemination into community practice 	5-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Research includes new laboratory discoveries being translated to human application (includes phase I & II clinical trials) and/or research that progresses through clinical development towards integration into clinical practice guidelines (includes phase III clinical trials) 	3-4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Research is laboratory (before human) research only 	0-2
Relative importance	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Highly important for future career Highly likely to make a significant impact on quality of healthcare or health policy 	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Somewhat important for future career Somewhat likely to make a significant impact on quality of healthcare or health policy 	4-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimally important for future career Unlikely to make a significant impact on quality of healthcare or health policy 	1-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not important for future career Will not make a significant impact on quality of healthcare or health policy 	0
Project Team and Supervision	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Highly successful track record of supervisory success The project team has a strong track record of working together and delivering research The ways of working, including team collaboration, are conducive to good research outcomes 	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Moderate track record of supervisory success The project team has a moderate track record of working together and delivering research The ways of working, including team collaboration, are likely to result in good research outcomes 	4-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some track record of supervisory success The project team has some history of working together to deliver research The ways of working, including team collaboration, may result in good research outcomes 	1-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No track record of supervisory success The project team has not worked together before The ways of working may be inadequate to deliver good research outcomes 	0